The octopus mind and the argument against farming it

Commentary on Mather on Octopus Mind

Jennifer Jacquet

Department of Environmental Studies

New York University

Becca Franks

Department of Environmental Studies

New York University

Peter Godfrey-Smith

Faculty of Science University of Sydney

Abstract: Mather is convincing about octopuses having 'a controlling mind, motivated to gather information,' but stops short of asking what having that mind means for octopus moral standing. One consequence of understanding the octopus mind should be a refusal to subject octopuses to mass production. Octopus farming is in an experimental phase and supported by various countries. We argue that it is unethical because of concerns about animal welfare as well as environmental impacts.

Jennifer Jacquet, assistant professor in the Department of Environmental Studies at New York University and part of NYU Animal Studies, works on large-scale environmental problems, including overfishing, climate change, and the Internet wildlife trade. Website



<u>Becca Franks</u>, visiting assistant professor in the Department of Environmental Studies at New York University, studies well-being and motivation, with a focus on aquatic animal welfare. <u>Website</u>



Peter Godfrey-Smith is Professor of History and Philosophy of Science at University of Sydney. His main research interests are philosophy of biology and philosophy of mind. His books include Other Minds: The Octopus, the Sea, and the Deep Origins of Consciousness. Website



Mather (2019a) presents ample evidence that octopuses have personalities, causal reasoning, get bored, and have imaginations. These are features we humans understand. She also describes unique aspects of octopuses' 'way of being' — such as a nervous system distributed

mostly throughout eight arms and the ability to camouflage themselves using chromatophores. Mather also argues convincingly that octopuses have 'a controlling mind, motivated to gather information.' Yet she stops short of asking what possessing that mind means for octopus moral standing (see commentaries of Browning 2019 and King & Marino 2019).

One consequence of understanding the octopus mind should be a refusal to subject octopuses to mass production. This is in an experimental phase today and supported by various countries, including Spain, Mexico, China, and Japan. Octopus farming is unethical because of concerns about animal welfare as well as environmental impact (<u>Jacquet et al.</u>, 2019).

Mass production of octopus would mean controlled, sterile, and monotonous environments (probably experienced in isolation) combined with set diets and regimented feeding schedules, all designed to maximize biomass, not wellbeing. Few studies have considered octopus welfare in farmed settings (for an overview see Castanheira, 2019), but these have reported high rates of cannibalism and aggression at higher stocking densities (Pham & Isidro, 2009), parasitic infections (Ladineo & Ozić, 2005), and problems with digestion (Sykes et al., 2017). Intensive farm systems are inevitably hostile to the positive experiences octopuses are likely to seek, including high levels of cognitive stimulation (Mather & Dickel, 2017), opportunities to explore, manipulate, and control their environment (Finn et al., 2009; Levy et al., 2015; Steer & Semmens, 2003), and social interaction (Boal, 2006; Caldwell et al., 2015; Scheel et al., 2017).

Beyond welfare concerns, commercial octopus farming would also be ecologically unsustainable. Octopuses are carnivores and require protein from other animals in their diet. Octopuses in captivity grow best on a diet consisting primarily of crab, but diets of mackerel (Pham & Isidro, 2009) or squid and hake have also been tried (Cerezo Valverde & García García, 2016). Rather than alleviating pressure on wild aquatic animals, farming octopus would increase pressure. As with any captive carnivore, farming octopus is inefficient: it would feed people but the result would be a net loss of animal protein.

Ecologists have emphasized that farming carnivores is unsustainable (e.g., Ackefors & Rosén, 1979; Naylor et al., 2000). Aquaculture is a valuable and probably inevitable part of the future of human food consumption, but it can be implemented more or less responsibly. From a sustainability perspective, farming should not focus on carnivores but on organisms lower on the food chain that we do not need to feed, such as mussels and oysters (Jacquet et al., 2017), seaweeds, and other options. Owing to concerns about environmental impact as well as human health, experts have argued that human diets should be composed predominantly or exclusively of plants (Willett, 2019). Although the argument that 'people have to eat' has been used to justify the development of octopus farming (including by Mather, 2019b) the human diet need not include farmed octopus. Unlike the octopus, humans have immensely flexible diets.

The nascent octopus farming industry has also argued that octopus farming will meet global demand for octopus. However, as with aquaculture in general, which has not been a substitute for capture fisheries but has added to the global supply of seafood (e.g., Longo et al., 2019), octopus farming would probably result in creating demand for octopus.

The octopus industry has also said octopus farming will create jobs. Any new enterprise, including going to war or building prisons, is likely to create jobs. The question is at what cost. Farming oysters, seaweed, or lentils would also create jobs without subjecting 'a controlling mind' to mass production. We must ultimately ask ourselves whether farming the octopus — an

undomesticated, sentient, and sophisticated carnivore — is the right thing to do. We believe it is not. The following scholars (signing as individuals, not on behalf of their institutions) agree:

Signatures

- 1. ABRAMS Peter, University of Toronto, Canada
- 2. AINLEY David, Marine Ecologist, USA
- 3. AL-ABDULRAZZAK Dalal, Vericatch, Canada
- 4. <u>ALAVA</u> Juan Jose, Institute for the Oceans and Fisheries, University of British Columbia, Canada, & Fundacion Ecuatoriana para el Estudio de Mamiferos Marinos (FEMM), Ecuador
- 5. <u>ARECHAVALA-LOPEZ</u> Pablo, Mediterranean Institute of Advanced Studies, Spain
- 6. ATHANASSAKIS Yanoula, New York University, USA
- 7. BAKER Liv, Hunter College, USA
- 8. BEKOFF Marc, University of Colorado, USA
- 9. **BERGHMANS** Federico, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 10. BERGSTROM Carl, Department of Biology, University of Washington, USA
- 11. BOLGER Niall, Columbia University, USA
- 12. BROAD Kenneth, University of Miami, USA
- 13. BROOKS Cassandra, University of Colorado Boulder, USA
- 14. BROTZ Lucas, Institute for the Oceans and Fisheries, University of British Columbia, Canada
- 15. BROWNING Heather, Australian National University, Australia
- 16. CASAL Paula, Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies, Spain
- 17. CARRETERO-GONZÁLEZ Margarita, Universidad de Granada, Spain
- 18. CHAUDHURI Una, New York University, USA
- 19. CLARK Stephen R.L., University of Liverpool (Professor Emeritus), UK
- 20. CRAMER Katie, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, USA
- 21. DAVIES Ben, University of Oxford, UK
- 22. DELON Nicolas, New College of Florida, USA
- 23. DENNETT Daniel, Tufts University, USA
- 24. **DONALDSON** Brianne, Rice University, USA
- 25. DOYLE Rebecca, University of Melbourne, Australia
- 26. ESTES, James, University of California, Santa Cruz, USA
- 27. FENTON Andrew, Dalhousie University, Canada
- 28. FISCHER Bob, Texas State University, USA
- 29. FONSECA Rui, Centro de Investigação e Estudos de Sociologia (CIES-IUL), Portugal
- 30. FRASER David, Animal Welfare Program, University of British Columbia, Canada
- 31. FROESE Rainer, GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research, Germany
- 32. GAGLIANO Monica, University of Sydney, Australia
- 33. GLASER Sarah, One Earth Future, USA
- 34. GRUEN Lori, William Griffin Professor of Philosophy, Wesleyan University, USA
- 35. GUPTA Kristin, Rice University, USA
- 36. HALTEMAN Matthew C., Calvin College, USA
- 37. HAYEK Matthew, Harvard University, USA
- 38. HERRMANN Kathrin, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, USA
- 39. HIGGINS E. Tory, Columbia University, USA
- 40. HINTZE Sara, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna BOKU, Austria
- 41. HOROWITZ Alexandra, Barnard College, USA
- 42. ILEA Ramona, Professor of Philosophy, Pacific University Oregon, USA

- 43. JACKSON Jeremy B.C., Scripps Institution of Oceanography, USA
- 44. JAMIESON Dale, Department of Environmental Studies, New York University, USA
- 45. JEROLMACK Colin, New York University, USA
- 46. JOHN Tyler M., Philosophy, Rutgers University-New Brunswick, USA
- 47. JOHNS Brandon, California State University San Bernardino, USA
- 48. JOHNSON Ayana, Ocean Collectiv, USA
- 49. JOHNSON Syd, Michigan Technological University, USA
- 50. JOST John T., New York University, USA
- 51. KILLOREN David, Australian Catholic University, Australia
- 52. KNEBA Elliot, Veterinarian, England
- 53. KRISTENSEN Bjørn, University of Oregon, USA
- 54. LERNER Adam, New York University Center for Bioethics, USA
- 55. MAKOWSKA Joanna, University of British Columbia, Canada
- 56. MARINO Lori, Kimmela Center for Animal Advocacy, USA
- 57. MCCAULEY Douglas, University of California Santa Barbara, USA
- 58. MCCLENACHAN Loren, Colby College, USA
- 59. MCDERMID Sonali, New York University, USA
- 60. MELOTTI Luca, University of Münster, Germany
- 61. MIGUENS Sofia, University of Porto, Portugal
- 62. MILINSKI Manfred, Max-Planck-Institute for Evolutionary Biology, Plön, Germany
- 63. MULA Anna, Foundation Franz Weber, Spain
- 64. NAGY Kelsi, Colorado State University, USA
- 65. NOTARBARTOLO DI SCIARA Giuseppe, Tethys Research Institute, Milano, Italy
- 66. ORMANDY Elisabeth, Animals in Science Policy Institute, Canada
- 67. OVEN Alice, University of Winchester, UK
- 68. PAEZ Eze, University of Minho, Portugal & UPF-Centre for Animal Ethics, Spain
- 69. PALOMARES Deng, Institute of Oceans and Fisheries, University of British Columbia, Canada
- 70. PARDALOU Androniki, School of Biology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- 71. PAULY Daniel, University of British Columbia, Canada
- 72. PENG Guo, Philosophy Department, Shandong University, China
- 73. PICKETT Susana, University of Leicester, UK
- 74. POLICARPO Verónica, Instituto de Ciéncias Sociais (ICS), Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal
- 75. PROUDFOOT Kathryn, Ohio State University, USA
- 76. PULEO Alicia, Philosophy Department of the Universidad de Valladolid, Spain
- 77. RADER Priscilla, Animal League Defense Fund, USA
- 78. RAJAN Kanaka, Princeton University, USA
- 79. REISS Diana, Hunter College, USA
- 80. RIESER Alison, University of Hawaii, USA
- 81. ROBERTS Callum, University of York, UK
- 82. RYAN Erin, British Columbia Animal Welfare Program, Canada
- 83. SAFINA Carl, Stony Brook University, USA
- 84. SALA Enric, National Geographic Society, USA
- 85. SARAIVA Joao L., Fish Ethology and Welfare Group, CCMAR, Portugal
- 86. SCHANZ Lisa, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences (BOKU) Vienna, Austria
- 87. SCHENKENFELDER Josef, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, Austria
- 88. SCHLOTTMANN Christopher, Department of Environmental Studies, NYU, USA
- 89. SEBO Jeff, New York University, USA
- 90. SHRIVER Adam, Oxford Uehrio Centre for Practical Ethics, UK

- 91. SINGER Peter, Princeton University, USA
- 92. SMUTS Barbara, Psychology, University of Michigan (Professor Emeritus), USA
- 93. SOMMERS Tamler, Philosophy, University of Houston, USA
- 94. SUDER Billo Heinzpeter, President, Guarantor Fish Ethology and Welfare Group, Germany
- 95. TAFALLA Marta, Philosophy Department, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain
- 96. TAVELLA Elizabeth, University of Chicago, USA
- 97. THIYAGARAJAN Nandini, New York University, USA
- 98. TSIKLIRAS Athanassios, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- 99. TUMINELLO Joseph, McNeese State University, USA
- 100. VENTURA Beth, University of Minnesota, USA
- 101. VOLSTORF Jenny, Fish Ethology and Welfare Group, Germany
- 102. VOLTES Adrià, Antropologia de la Vida Animal (Institut Català d'Antropologia), Spain
- 103. WATLING Les, University of Hawaii, USA
- 104. WEBB Christine, Department of Human Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, USA
- 105. WINCKLER Christoph, University Natural Resources & Life Sciences Vienna, Austria
- 106. WINTER Drew, Rice University, USA
- 107. ZAVITZ Tayler, University of Victoria, Canada
- 108. ZOLLITSCH Werner, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, Austria
- 109. ZOZAYA Stephen, James Cook University, Australia

References

- Ackefors, H., & Rosén, C.G. (1979). Farming aquatic animals: the emergence of a world-wide industry with profound ecological consequences. *AMBIO*, 8, 132–143.
- Boal, J.G. (2006). Social Regognition: a top down view of cephalopod behavior. *Vie et Milieu Life and Environment*, 56(2), 69–79.
- Browning, H. (2019). What is good for an octopus?. Animal Sentience 26(7).
- Caldwell, R.L., Ross, R., Rodaniche, A., & Huffard, C.L. (2015). <u>Behavior and body patterns of the Larger Pacific Striped Octopus</u>. *PLoS ONE*, 10(8), 1–17.
- Castanheira, M.F. (2019). <u>Octopus vulgaris</u>. In: FishEthoBase, ed. Fish Ethology and Welfare Group.
- Cerezo Valverde, J., & García García, B. (2017). High feeding and growth rates in common octopus (*Octopus vulgaris*) fed formulated feeds with an improved amino acid profile and mixture of binders. *Aquaculture Research*, 48(7), 3308-3319.
- Finn, J.K., Tregenza, T., & Norman, M.D. (2009). Defensive tool use in a coconut-carrying octopus. *Current Biology*, 19, 1069–1070.
- Jacquet, J., Franks, B., Godfrey-Smith, P., & Sanchez-Suarez, W. (2019). The case against octopus farming. *Issues in Science and Technology*, 35(2), 37–44.
- Jacquet, J., Sebo, J., & Elder, M. (2017). Seafood in the future: Bivalves are better. *Solutions*, 8, 27-32.
- King, B.J., & Marino, L. (2019). Octopus minds must lead to octopus ethics. Animal Sentience 26(14).
- Ladineo, I.M., & Ozić, M.J. (2005). Aggregata infection in the common octopus, *Octopus vulgaris*, Cephalopoda: Octopodidae, reared in a flow-through system. *Area*, 46, 193–199.

- Longo, S.B., Clark, B., York, R., & Jorgenson, A.K. (2019). <u>Aquaculture and the displacement of capture fisheries</u>. *Conservation Biology*, 33(4), 832-841.
- Mather, J. (2019a). What is in an octopus's mind? Animal Sentience 26(1).
- Mather, J. (2019b). Octopus farming (response). Issues in Science and Technology, 35(3).
- Mather, J.A., & Dickel, L. (2017). Cephalopod complex cognition. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 16, 131–137.
- Naylor, R.L., Goldburg, R.J., Primavera, J.H., Kautsky, N., Beveridge, M.C.M., Clay, J., Folke, C., Lubchenco, J., Mooney, H., & Troell, M. (2000). Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies. *Nature*, 405, 1017–1024.
- Pham, C.K., & Isidro, E. (2009). Growth and mortality of common octopus (*Octopus vulgaris*) fed a monospecific fish diet. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 28, 617–623.
- Scheel, D., Chancellor, S., Hing, M., Lawrence, M., Linquist, S., & Godfrey-Smith, P. (2017). A second site occupied by *Octopustetricus* at high densities, with notes on their ecology and behavior. *Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and Physiology*, 50, 285-291.
- Sykes, A.V., Almansa, E., Cooke, G.M., Ponte, G., & Andrews, P.L.R. (2017). The digestive tract of cephalopods: A neglected topic of relevance to animal welfare in the laboratory and aquaculture. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 8, 1–16.
- Willett, W., Rockström, J., Loken, B., Springmann, M., Lang, T., Vermeulen, S., & Garnett, T. (2019). Food in the anthropocene: The EAT-Lancet Commission on Healthy Diets from Sustainable Food Systems. The Lancet, 393, 447–492.